

No. 04-1739

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

JEFFREY BEARD,
Petitioner,
v.
RONALD BANKS,
Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

**BRIEF FOR PRISON LEGAL NEWS, REPORTERS
COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS, THE
FREEDOM TO READ FOUNDATION, THE ASSOCIATION
OF AMERICAN PUBLISHERS, THE AMERICAN
BOOKSELLERS FOUNDATION FOR FREE EXPRESSION,
AND PUBLISHERS MARKETING ASSOCIATION AS
AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

LUCY A. DALGLISH
GREGG P. LESLIE
SUSAN K. BURGESS
Reporters Committee for
Freedom of the Press
1101 Wilson Blvd., Suite 1100
Arlington, VA 22209

MICHAEL A. BAMBERGER
RACHEL G. BALABAN
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020

SANFORD JAY ROSEN
Counsel of Record
JANET TUNG
Rosen, Bien & Asaro, LLP
155 Montgomery Street
Eighth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone: (415) 433-6830

February 16, 2006

Counsel for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTEREST OF THE AMICI CURIAE	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT.....	7
I. PENNSYLVANIA’S PRISON POLICIES VIOLATE THE FIRST AMENDMENT	7
A. The First Amendment Right of Access to Newspapers and Magazines in Prison Is Not Inconsistent With the Legitimate Purposes of Prison Administration	10
B. The Pennsylvania Prison Regulations Disrupt the Essential Function of the Print Media and Amount to an Attempt to Restructure the Modes of First Amendment Discourse	13
C. The Pennsylvania Prison Regulations Impermissibly Discriminate Against Non- Religious, Non-Legal Newspapers and Magazines.....	16
II. <i>TURNER</i> DOES NOT DELEGATE THE CONSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF COURTS TO PRISON OFFICIALS.....	17
III. THE THIRD CIRCUIT CORRECTLY APPLIED <i>TURNER’S</i> REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP TEST TO PENNSYLVANIA’S COMPLETE DENIAL OF NON-RELIGIOUS, NON-LEGAL NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES	20
A. Valid, Rational Connection Between the Prison Regulation and the Stated Government Interest..	22

1. Application of the <i>Turner</i> Standard Permits Courts to Examine the Evidentiary Record	22
2. The Logical Connection Between the Regulation and the Government’s Stated Goal of Behavior Modification Is, At Best, Extremely Attenuated.....	24
B. Availability of Alternative Means to Exercise the Constitutionally Protected Right	26
C. Effect of Accommodation	28
D. Availability of Reasonable Alternatives	29
CONCLUSION	30
APPENDIX: INDIVIDUAL STATEMENTS OF INTEREST OF THE AMICI CURIAE	App 1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Adderly v. Florida</i> , 385 U.S. 39 (1966).....	8
<i>Allen v. Coughlin</i> , 64 F.3d 77 (2d Cir. 1995).....	6
<i>Amatel v. Reno</i> , 156 F.3d 192 (D.C. Cir. 1998).....	21, 22
<i>Arkansas Educational Television Comm'n v. Forbes</i> , 523 U.S. 666 (1998).....	8, 16
<i>Arkansas Writers' Project, Inc. v. Ragland</i> , 481 U.S. 221 (1987).....	16
<i>Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition</i> , 535 U.S. 234 (2002).....	7
<i>Associated Press v. United States</i> , 326 U.S. 1 (1945).....	7
<i>Banks v. Beard</i> , 399 F.3d 134 (3d Cir. 2005).....	passim
<i>Berheide v. Suthers</i> , 286 F.3d 1179 (10th Cir. 2002).....	24
<i>Bell v. Wolfish</i> , 441 U.S. 520 (1979).....	23
<i>Bradley v. Hall</i> , 64 F.3d 1276 (9th Cir. 1995).....	21

