275 SEVENTH AVENUE • SUITE 1504 • NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001 PHONE: 212-587-4025 • FAX: 212-587-2436 • WWW.MEDIACOALITION.ORG

DAVID HOROWITZ Executive Director

American Booksellers Foundation for Free Expression

Association of American Publishers, Inc.

Comic Book Legal Defense Fund

Entertainment Consumers Association

Entertainment Merchants Association

Entertainment Software Association

Freedom to Read Foundation

Motion Picture Association of America, Inc.

National Association of Recording Merchandisers

Recording Industry Association of America, Inc.

Chair
Chris Finan
American Booksellers
Foundation for Free
Expression

Immediate Past Chair Sean Devlin Bersell Entertainment Merchants Association

Treasurer Sally Jefferson Entertainment Software Association

General Counsel Michael A. Bamberger Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP

MEDIA COALITION and RESTRICTIONS ON VIOLENT CONTENT

Since the school shootings at Columbine High School, there has been a significant increase in state legislation that seeks to restrict material with violent content. A number of these laws have been enacted. However, courts have blocked all of them on the grounds that material with violent content is protected by the First Amendment.

Media Coalition has defended the dissemination of material with violent themes by either litigating or providing amicus support in the following cases:

- VSDA v. Schwarzenegger, 556 F.3d 950 (9th Cir. 2009) The Ninth Circuit struck down a California law that restricted certain video games for minors and mandated that restricted games carry an "18" label.
- ESA v. Swanson, 519 F.3d 768 (8th Cir. 2007) The Eighth Circuit upheld the District Court ruling that barred Minnesota from restricting minors from buying or renting video games with violent themes, enforcing the video game industry's ratings system or requiring retailers to post signs with the rating restrictions.
- *ESA v. Blagojevich*, 469 F.3d 641 (7th Cir. 2006) The Seventh Circuit found a ban on video games with violent (or some sexual) content was unconstitutional. They also enjoined the requirement that video games carry an "18" label.
- ABFFE v. Petro, 233 F. Supp. 2d 932 (S.D. Ohio, W. Div. 2002) U.S. District Court Judge Rice barred enforcement of a statute that defined "harmful to juveniles" material as including depictions or descriptions of violence.
- Video Software Dealers Ass'n v. Maleng, 325 F. Supp. 2d 1180 (W.D. Wash. 2004)
 The U.S. District Court enjoined a law that prohibited the rental or sale to a minor of video games containing depictions of violence against law enforcement officers.
- *IDSA v. St. Louis County*, 329 F.3d 954 (8th Cir. 2003) The Eighth Circuit ordered a U.S. District Court Judge to bar enforcement of a county ordinance banning the sale, rental and "free play" for minors of any video game with violent content.
- AAMA et al. v. Kendrick et al., 244 F.3d 572 (7th Cir. 2001) The Seventh Circuit sent the case back to the trial court to block enforcement of an Indianapolis ordinance that barred the display and operation of arcade games with violent or sexual content. The definition of "harmful to minors" in the city's general ordinance had also been broadened to include material that depicts violence.

Much of the recent legislation stems from claims that exposure to violent content in media causes actual violence. Media Coalition has worked to counter these assertions by publishing Shooting the Messenger: Why Censorship Won't Stop Violence, which surveys social science research in this area. Media Coalition also facilitated an amicus briefs filed by social scientists in AAMA et al. v. Kendrick et al. and IDSA v. St. Louis County that addressed "media effects" research that claims to show a link between media with violent content and actual violence.